# ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION AFTER THE PRESS CONFERENCE HELD ON 22 NOVEMBER 2010 AT 5.15 A.M. CET AT THE NEC'S HEADQUARTERS 

On $22^{\text {nd }}$ November 2010 at 5:15 a.m. CET at the headquarters of the National Electoral Commission, another press conference about the elections for municipal councils, county councils and regional assemblies, as well as for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities was held.

Conference participants:
Member of NEC: Andrzej Mączyński,
Member of NEC: Janusz Niemcewicz,
Member of NEC: Kazimierz Czaplicki - Head of the National Electoral Office.

Judge Andrzej Mączyński announced partial unofficial results of voting as of 4.52 a.m. CET based on electronically obtained data from 34296 out of the total of 104008 protocols approved by territorial electoral commissions.

The turnout in elections for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities amounted to $45.52 \%$. Out of the total number of 2479 reeves, mayors and presidents of cities elected, results were established in 10 communes and cities. The turnout in elections for municipal councils amounted to $45.61 \%$. Out of the total number of 2479 communes, results were established in 9 communes. The turnout in elections for county councils amounted to $45.38 \%$. Out of the total number of 314 counties, results were not established in any of the counties. The turnout in elections for regional assemblies amounted to $41.18 \%$. Out of the total number of 16 regional assemblies, results were not established in any of the regional assemblies.

Judge Janusz Niemcewicz presented the results of voting for presidents in selected cities, giving the results of three candidates with the highest number of votes.

Judge Andrzej Mączyński presented percentage results of voting for municipal councils, county councils and regional assemblies by electoral committees operating countrywide in individual provinces.

Next partial unofficial results of voting may be expected after the National Electoral Commission obtains $60 \%$ of protocols from electoral commissions.

Content of the announcement:

# ANNOUNCEMENT <br> OF THE NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION <br> about partial unofficial results of the elections <br> as of 04:52 a.m. CET on $22^{\text {nd }}$ November 2010. 

On the basis of electronically obtained information about the results from 34296 protocols of precinct voting, approved by territorial electoral commissions, out of the total of 104008 voting protocols, the National Electoral Commission announces that partial unofficial results of the ballot are as follows:

## I.

| 1. In elections for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities: |
| :--- |
| 1) the number of people eligible to vote amounted to $11751056 ;$ |
| 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $5349343 ;$ |
| 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 5 . 5 2 \%}$; |
| 4) out of the total number of 2479 reeves, mayors and presidents of cities elected, results were established in $\mathbf{1 0}$ <br> communes and cities. <br> 2. In elections for municipal councils: <br> 1) ) the number of people eligible to vote amounted to $11417505 ;$ <br> 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $5207991 ;$ <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 5 . 6 1 \%}$; <br> 4) out of the total number of 2479 communes, results were established in the case of $\mathbf{9}$ municipal councils; <br> 5) out of the total number of 18 districts of the capital city of Warsaw, the results were not established in any of <br> the district councils. <br> 3. In elections for county councils: <br> 1) ) the number of people eligible to vote amounted to 1706 969; <br> 2 the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $774674 ;$ <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 5 . 3 8 \%} ;$ <br> 4) ) out of the total number of 314 counties, the results were not established in the case of any of the counties. <br> 4. In elections for regional assemblies: <br> 1) ) the number of people eligible to vote amounted to $5657058 ;$ <br> 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $2329535 ;$ <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 1 . 1 8 \%} ;$ <br> 4) out of the total number of 16 regional assemblies, the results were not established in any of the provinces. |

II.

1. Results of the voting for presidents in selected cities ( 3 candidates with the highest number of votes):

| No. | City | $\%$ of <br> protocols <br> approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Białystok | 29.88\% | Tadeusz Truskolaski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 68.73\% |
|  |  |  | Dariusz Piontkowski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 22.62\% |
|  |  |  | Janusz Ryszard Kochan | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 5.22\% |
| 2. | Bydgoszcz | 33.66\% | Rafał Piotr Bruski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 43.39\% |
|  |  |  | Konstanty Dombrowicz | KWW - DOMBROWICZ. MIASTO DLA POKOLEŃ | 30.18\% |
|  |  |  | Kosma Tadeusz Złotowski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 11.94\% |
| 3. | Gdańsk | 38.43\% | Paweł Bogdan Adamowicz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 52.35\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Jaworski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 22.23\% |
|  |  |  | Krzysztof Włodzimierz Andruszkiewicz | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 8.37\% |
| 4. | Gorzów Wielkopolski | 80.88\% | Tadeusz Jędrzejczak | KWW JĘDRZEJCZAK - GORZÓW XXI W. | 57.92\% |
|  |  |  | Elżbieta Rafalska | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 18.98\% |
|  |  |  | Krystyna Mieczysława Sibińska | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 17.62\% |
| 5. | Katowice | 76.97\% | Piotr Czesław Uszok | KWW "FORUM SAMORZĄDOWE I PIOTR USZOK" | 52.04\% |
|  |  |  | Arkadiusz Mariusz Godlewski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 33.96\% |
|  |  |  | Marek Tomasz Szczerbowski | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 11.49\% |
| 6. | Kielce | 64.84\% | Wojciech Jerzy Lubawski | KWW POROZUMIENIE <br> SAMORZĄDOWE W. LUBAWSKI | 58.24\% |
|  |  |  | Jan Gierada | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 16.04\% |
|  |  |  | Grzegorz Piotr Banaś | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 11.75\% |

- 3 -

| No. | City | \% of protocols approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Kraków | 42.70\% | Jacek Maria Majchrowski | KWW JACKA MAJCHROWSKIEGO | 40.50\% |
|  |  |  | Stanisław Kracik | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 33.36\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Sebastian Duda | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 22.91\% |
| 8. | Lublin | 73.93\% | Lech Stanisław Sprawka | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 31.93\% |
|  |  |  | Krzysztof Jan Żuk | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 30.82\% |
|  |  |  | Izabella Antonina Sierakowska | KKW LISTA SIERAKOWSKIEJ - LEWICA | 18.12\% |
| 9. | Łódź | 41.71\% | Hanna Elżbieta Zdanowska | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 32.76\% |
|  |  |  | Dariusz Joński | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 24.39\% |
|  |  |  | Włodzimierz Tomaszewski | KWW ŁÓDZKIE POROZUMIENIE OBYWATELSKIE | 17.77\% |
| 10. | Olsztyn | 69.47\% | Czesław Jerzy Małkowski | KWW CZESEAWA JERZEGO MAŁKOWSKIEGO | 39.00\% |
|  |  |  | Piotr Władysław Grzymowicz | KWW PIOTRA GRZYMOWICZA | 35.48\% |
|  |  |  | Janusz Cichoń | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 13.37\% |
| 11. | Opole | 84.72\% | Ryszard Andrzej <br> Zembaczyński | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 43.13\% |
|  |  |  | Tomasz Robert Garbowski | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 21.03\% |
|  |  |  | Violetta Teresa Porowska | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 18.60\% |
| 12. | Poznań | 65.67\% | Ryszard Grobelny | KWW RYSZARDA GROBELNEGO | 50.03\% |
|  |  |  | Grzegorz Ganowicz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 20.98\% |
|  |  |  | Tadeusz Antoni Dziuba | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚC | 14.43\% |


| No. | City | \% of protocols approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. | Rzeszów | 67.39\% | Tadeusz Ferenc | KWW TADEUSZA FERENCA "ROZWÓJ RZESZOWA" | 53.70\% |
|  |  |  | Jerzy Cypryś | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 34.52\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Julian Dec | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 7.57\% |
| 14. | Szczecin | 1.00\% | Arkadiusz Litwiński | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 61.11\% |
|  |  |  | Piotr Tomasz Krzystek | KWW PIOTRA KRZYSTKA SZCZECIN DLA POKOLEŃ | 13.89\% |
|  |  |  | Małgorzata Anna JacynaWitt | KWW MAŁGORZATY JACYNY - WITT | 5.56\% |
| 15. | Toruń | 86.89\% | Michał Franciszek Zaleski | KWW MICHAŁA ZALESKIEGO CZAS GOSPODARZY | 65.57\% |
|  |  |  | Waldemar Edmund Przybyszewski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 20.92\% |
|  |  |  | Przemysław Tomasz Przybylski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 9.92\% |
| 16. | Warszawa | 5.02\% | Hanna Beata Gronkiewicz-Waltz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 48.65\% |
|  |  |  | Czesław Bielecki | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 25.11\% |
|  |  |  | Wojciech Michał Olejniczak | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 13.43\% |
| 17. | Wrocław | 40.13\% | Rafał Franciszek Dutkiewicz | KWW RAFAŁA DUTKIEWICZA | 72.30\% |
|  |  |  | Sławomir Jan Piechota | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 10.52\% |
|  |  |  | Dawid Bohdan Jackiewicz | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 9.96\% |
| 18. | Zielona Góra | 90.16\% | Janusz Krzysztof Kubicki | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 64.59\% |
|  |  |  | Elżbieta Anna Polak | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 18.58\% |
|  |  |  | Jerzy Marian Materna | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 13.32\% |

2. Percentage results of voting for councils by electoral committees operating countrywide, for individual provinces:
1) In elections for municipal councils:

| No. | Province | $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \\ \text { on } \end{array}$ | 言 | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 35.28\% | 43.19\% | 12.81\% | 1.15\% | 0.09\% | 24.94\% | 14.95\% |
| 2. | kujawskopomorskie | 44.55\% | 43.28\% | 17.92\% | 4.12\% | 0.00\% | 28.17\% | 18.61\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 51.24\% | 47.36\% | 8.44\% | 3.77\% | --- | 28.08\% | 27.94\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 46.65\% | 44.39\% | 23.59\% | 4.56\% | --- | 28.65\% | 17.80\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 40.98\% | 44.73\% | 18.20\% | 1.63\% | --- | 25.53\% | 19.47\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 51.92\% | 47.79\% | 4.51\% | 1.58\% | --- | 22.63\% | 21.53\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 22.82\% | 51.04\% | 11.02\% | 5.18\% | 0.00\% | 25.40\% | 24.47\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 70.42\% | 40.29\% | 11.32\% | 3.40\% | --- | 29.29\% | 13.27\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | 73.17\% | 50.81\% | 3.49\% | 4.27\% | --- | 16.85\% | 25.76\% |
| 10. | podlaskie | 53.10\% | 48.42\% | 10.84\% | 4.34\% | --- | 23.38\% | 24.01\% |
| 11. | pomorskie | 40.15\% | 45.25\% | 9.03\% | 0.11\% | --- | 33.46\% | 16.01\% |
| 12. | śląskie | 50.31\% | 40.87\% | 13.27\% | 0.55\% | --- | 26.95\% | 14.28\% |
| 13. | świętokrzyskie | 43.53\% | 51.97\% | 15.86\% | 10.45\% | 0.00\% | 14.99\% | 17.81\% |
| 14. | warmińskomazurskie | 44.57\% | 44.84\% | 13.06\% | 2.12\% | 0.00\% | 26.19\% | 14.94\% |
| 15. | wielkopolskie | 43.31\% | 44.46\% | 13.63\% | 4.25\% | --- | 29.58\% | 14.10\% |
| 16. | zachodniopomorskie | 30.81\% | 46.44\% | 16.42\% | 3.85\% | --- | 30.45\% | 10.47\% |
| POL | LAND IN TOTAL | 43.34\% | 45.61\% | 11.96\% | 2.71\% | 0.01\% | 25.96\% | 18.13\% |

2) In elections for county councils:

| No. | Province | ${ }^{2}$ 000000000000 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 8.77\% | 44.54\% | 14.65\% | 6.29\% | --- | 20.28\% | 12.69\% |
| 2. | kujawskopomorskie | 10.53\% | 40.71\% | 17.17\% | 15.87\% | 0.05\% | 23.81\% | 12.50\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 5.22\% | 48.90\% | 7.03\% | 25.93\% | --- | 9.87\% | 22.10\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 11.03\% | 45.34\% | 19.44\% | 10.28\% | --- | 31.48\% | 10.92\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 17.05\% | 45.82\% | 13.89\% | 13.96\% | --- | 19.29\% | 23.17\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 2.94\% | 47.52\% | 2.94\% | 16.31\% | --- | 12.79\% | 24.47\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 5.63\% | 49.35\% | 7.58\% | 17.44\% | --- | 12.78\% | 17.49\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 25.61\% | 41.02\% | 7.20\% | 9.51\% | --- | 22.81\% | 9.68\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | 14.71\% | 48.83\% | 1.61\% | 16.61\% | --- | 20.32\% | 33.69\% |
| 10. | podlaskie | 19.97\% | 48.20\% | 5.87\% | 13.81\% | --- | 12.16\% | 21.69\% |
| 11. | pomorskie | 17.86\% | 45.12\% | 10.34\% | 5.18\% | --- | 34.42\% | 14.16\% |
| 12. | śląskie | 7.62\% | 42.49\% | 6.01\% | 2.02\% | --- | 22.96\% | 15.87\% |
| 13. | świętokrzyskie | 12.50\% | 49.25\% | 14.39\% | 17.65\% | --- | 11.07\% | 19.88\% |
| 14. | warmińskomazurskie | 13.73\% | 45.85\% | 9.00\% | 14.39\% | --- | 15.48\% | 10.94\% |
| 15. | wielkopolskie | 11.56\% | 43.71\% | 13.17\% | 13.39\% | --- | 20.40\% | 10.71\% |
| 16. | zachodniopomorskie | 12.35\% | 43.34\% | 11.91\% | 9.79\% | --- | 31.14\% | 13.40\% |
| PO | AND IN TOTAL | 10.97\% | 45.38\% | 9.87\% | 12.59\% | 0.00\% | 20.71\% | 17.85\% |

3) In elections for regional assemblies:

| No. | Province | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 16.34\% | 39.16\% | 12.79\% | 4.86\% | 0.73\% | 30.82\% | 19.68\% |
| 2. | kujawskopomorskie | 22.68\% | 39.59\% | 18.26\% | 6.70\% | 0.83\% | 41.36\% | 19.64\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 16.86\% | 40.84\% | 12.06\% | 10.78\% | 0.49\% | 35.76\% | 31.99\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 25.15\% | 41.59\% | 30.63\% | 11.73\% | 0.51\% | 33.51\% | 18.12\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 24.81\% | 39.56\% | 19.95\% | 12.60\% | 0.93\% | 31.23\% | 25.01\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 13.21\% | 42.82\% | 10.35\% | 4.31\% | 0.57\% | 43.80\% | 29.39\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 6.94\% | 47.25\% | 14.32\% | 31.57\% | 0.77\% | 21.52\% | 23.14\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 31.23\% | 39.30\% | 16.17\% | 10.33\% | 1.67\% | 34.30\% | 16.66\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | 22.17\% | 48.20\% | 11.68\% | 15.47\% | 0.76\% | 30.13\% | 36.75\% |
| 10. | podlaskie | 26.57\% | 44.50\% | 14.91\% | 19.80\% | 0.86\% | 34.05\% | 24.99\% |
| 11. | pomorskie | 26.02\% | 43.00\% | 11.97\% | 6.16\% | 1.16\% | 45.63\% | 18.48\% |
| 12. | śląskie | 33.17\% | 37.79\% | 17.71\% | 3.92\% | 1.08\% | 36.17\% | 20.33\% |
| 13. | świętokrzyskie | 17.57\% | 46.49\% | 15.19\% | 22.24\% | 1.11\% | 20.02\% | 21.77\% |
| 14. | warmińskomazurskie | 24.18\% | 42.21\% | 18.62\% | 16.05\% | 1.29\% | 39.83\% | 19.69\% |
| 15. | wielkopolskie | 21.97\% | 40.13\% | 23.28\% | 8.49\% | 1.03\% | 39.41\% | 20.00\% |
| 16. | zachodniopomorskie | 13.55\% | 42.42\% | 20.92\% | 8.68\% | 1.23\% | 43.00\% | 19.53\% |
| POLAND IN TOTAL |  | 20.23\% | 41.18\% | 16.79\% | 10.09\% | 0.95\% | 35.79\% | 22.97\% |

Member of the National Electoral Committee

Andrzej Mączyński

