# ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION AFTER THE PRESS CONFERENCE HELD ON 22 NOVEMBER 2010 AT 12.00 A.M. CET AT THE NEC'S HEADQUARTERS 

On $22^{\text {nd }}$ November 2010 at 12.00 a.m. CET at the headquarters of the National Electoral Commission, another press conference about the elections for municipal councils, county councils and regional assemblies, as well as for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities was held.

Conference participants:
Chairperson of NEC: Stefan Jaworski,
Deputy Chairperson of NEC: Stanisław Kosmal, Member of NEC: Andrzej Kisielewicz, Member of NEC: Janusz Niemcewicz, Member of NEC: Kazimierz Czaplicki - Head of the National Electoral Office.

Chairperson of the National Electoral Commission, Judge Stefan Jaworski announced partial unofficial results of the voting as of 11.53 a.m. CET established on the basis of electronically obtained data from 94022 protocols approved by territorial electoral commissions, out of the total number of 104008 protocols.

In elections for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities, the turnout reached $47.16 \%$. Out of the total number of 2479 reeves, mayors and presidents of cities elected, results were established in the case of 1447 communes and cities. In elections for municipal councils, the turnout reached $47.23 \%$. Out of the total number of 2479 communes, results were established in the case of 1434 municipal councils. Out of the total number of 18 districts of the capital city of Warsaw, no results were established in any of the districts. In elections for county councils, the turnout reached $49.40 \%$. Out of the total number of 314 counties, results were established in 9 county councils. In elections for regional assemblies, the turnout reached $46.32 \%$. Out of the total number of 16 regional assemblies in provinces, no results were established in any of the provinces.

Judge Andrzej Kisielewicz presented the results of voting for presidents in 18 largest cities, in each case providing information about three candidates with the highest numbers of votes.

Judge Stefan Jaworski presented percentage results of voting for municipal councils, county councils and regional assemblies by electoral committees operating countrywide, for individual provinces.

Secretary of NEC, Judge Kazimierz Czaplicki announced that the turnout is calculated on the basis of valid ballot papers taken out from ballot boxes.

Content of the announcement of the National Electoral Commission:

## ANNOUNCEMENT <br> OF THE NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION about partial unofficial results of the elections as of $11.53 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. CET on $22^{\text {nd }}$ November 2010.

On the basis of electronically obtained information about the results from 94022 protocols of precinct voting approved by territorial electoral commissions out of the total of 104008 voting protocols, the National Electoral Commission announces that partial unofficial results of the ballot are as follows:

## I.

| 1. In elections for the positions of reeves, mayors and presidents of cities: |
| :--- |
| 1) the number of people eligible to vote totalled 29170806 ; |
| 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $13756505 ;$ |
| 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 7 . 1 6 \%}$; |
| 4) out of the total number of 2479 reeves, mayors and presidents of cities elected, results were established <br> in $\mathbf{1 4 4 7}$ communes and cities. <br> 2. In elections for municipal councils: <br> 1) the number of people eligible to vote totalled $28770800 ;$ <br> 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to 13588 390; <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 3 \%}$; <br> 4) out of the total number of 2479 communes, results were established in the case of $\mathbf{1 4 3 4}$ municipal <br> councils; <br> 5) out of the total number of 18 districts of the capital city of Warsaw, the results were not established in <br> any of the district councils. <br> 3. In elections for county councils: <br> 1) the number of people eligible to vote totalled $16374821 ;$ <br> 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $8088475 ;$ <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 9 . 4 0 \%}$; <br> 4) out of the total number of 314 counties, the results were established in the case of $\mathbf{9}$ county councils. <br> 4. In elections for regional assemblies: <br> 1) the number of people eligible to vote totalled $25429547 ;$ <br> 2) the number of valid ballot papers amounted to $11779978 ;$ <br> 3) the turnout was $\mathbf{4 6 . 3 2 \%}$; <br> 4) out of the total number of 16 regional assemblies, the results were not established in any of the provinces. |

II.

1. Results of the voting for presidents in selected cities ( 3 candidates with the highest number of votes):

| No. | City | \% of protocols approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Białystok | 100.00\% | Tadeusz Truskolaski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 68.53\% |
|  |  |  | Dariusz Piontkowski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 23.76\% |
|  |  |  | Janusz Ryszard Kochan | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 4.63\% |
| 2. | Bydgoszcz | 99.50\% | Rafał Piotr Bruski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 43.29\% |
|  |  |  | Konstanty Dombrowicz | KWW - DOMBROWICZ. MIASTO DLA POKOLEŃ | 29.97\% |
|  |  |  | Kosma Tadeusz Złotowski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 12.36\% |
| 3. | Gdańsk | 97.22\% | Paweł Bogdan Adamowicz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 53.74\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Jaworski | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 21.84\% |
|  |  |  | Krzysztof Włodzimierz Andruszkiewicz | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 8.06\% |
| 4. | Gorzów Wielkopolski | 100.00\% | Tadeusz Jędrzejczak | KWW JĘDRZEJCZAK GORZÓW XXI W. | 57.74\% |
|  |  |  | Elżbieta Rafalska | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 18.78\% |
|  |  |  | Krystyna Mieczysława Sibińska | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 17.75\% |
| 5. | Katowice | 100.00\% | Piotr Czesław Uszok | KWW "FORUM SAMORZĄDOWE I PIOTR USZOK" | 51.61\% |
|  |  |  | Arkadiusz Mariusz Godlewski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 34.47\% |
|  |  |  | Marek Tomasz Szczerbowski | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 11.45\% |
| 6. | Kielce | 100.00\% | Wojciech Jerzy <br> Lubawski | KWW POROZUMIENIE SAMORZĄDOWE W. LUBAWSKI | 58.66\% |
|  |  |  | Jan Gierada | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 16.06\% |
|  |  |  | Grzegorz Piotr Banaś | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 11.41\% |


| No. | City | \% of protocols approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Kraków | 100.00\% | Jacek Maria <br> Majchrowski | KWW JACKA MAJCHROWSKIEGO | 40.78\% |
|  |  |  | Stanisław Kracik | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 33.69\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Sebastian Duda | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 22.38\% |
| 8. | Lublin | 100.00\% | Lech Stanisław Sprawka | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 31.87\% |
|  |  |  | Krzysztof Jan Żuk | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 31.15\% |
|  |  |  | Izabella Antonina Sierakowska | KKW LISTA SIERAKOWSKIEJ LEWICA | 17.92\% |
| 9. | Łódź | 99.75\% | Hanna Elżbieta Zdanowska | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 34.05\% |
|  |  |  | Dariusz Joński | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 24.01\% |
|  |  |  | Włodzimierz Tomaszewski | KWW ŁÓDZKIE POROZUMIENIE OBYWATELSKIE | 17.49\% |
| 10. | Olsztyn | 100.00\% | Czesław Jerzy Małkowski | KWW CZESŁAWA JERZEGO MAŁKOWSKIEGO | 38.66\% |
|  |  |  | Piotr Władysław Grzymowicz | KWW PIOTRA GRZYMOWICZA | 36.32\% |
|  |  |  | Janusz Cichoń | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 13.39\% |
| 11. | Opole | 100.00\% | Ryszard Andrzej <br> Zembaczyński | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 43.15\% |
|  |  |  | Tomasz Robert Garbowski | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 21.13\% |
|  |  |  | Violetta Teresa Porowska | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 18.46\% |
| 12. | Poznań | 100.00\% | Ryszard Grobelny | KWW RYSZARDA GROBELNEGO | 49.52\% |
|  |  |  | Grzegorz Ganowicz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 21.53\% |
|  |  |  | Tadeusz Antoni Dziuba | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 14.08\% |

- 4 -

| No. | City | \% of protocols approved | Name and surname | Abbreviated name of electoral committee | \% of votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. | Rzeszów | 100.00\% | Tadeusz Ferenc | KWW TADEUSZA FERENCA "ROZWÓJ RZESZOWA" | 53.25\% |
|  |  |  | Jerzy Cypryś | KW PRAWO I SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 34.86\% |
|  |  |  | Andrzej Julian Dec | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 7.59\% |
| 14. | Szczecin | 100.00\% | Piotr Tomasz Krzystek | KWW PIOTRA KRZYSTKA SZCZECIN DLA POKOLEŃ | 26.55\% |
|  |  |  | Arkadiusz Litwiński | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 21.25\% |
|  |  |  | Krzysztof Piotr Zaremba | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 17.89\% |
| 15. | Toruń | 100.00\% | Michał Franciszek Zaleski | KWW MICHAŁA ZALESKIEGO CZAS GOSPODARZY | 65.59\% |
|  |  |  | Waldemar Edmund Przybyszewski | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 20.89\% |
|  |  |  | Przemysław Tomasz Przybylski | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 9.92\% |
| 16. | Warszawa | 52.86\% | Hanna Beata Gronkiewicz-Waltz | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 52.55\% |
|  |  |  | Czesław Bielecki | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 23.84\% |
|  |  |  | Wojciech Michał Olejniczak | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 13.58\% |
| 17. | Wrocław | 99.33\% | Rafał Franciszek Dutkiewicz | KWW RAFAŁA DUTKIEWICZA | 71.67\% |
|  |  |  | Sławomir Jan <br> Piechota | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 10.97\% |
|  |  |  | Dawid Bohdan Jackiewicz | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 9.99\% |
| 18. | Zielona Góra | 100.00\% | Janusz Krzysztof Kubicki | KOMITET WYBORCZY SLD | 64.87\% |
|  |  |  | Elżbieta Anna Polak | KW PLATFORMA OBYWATELSKA RP | 18.46\% |
|  |  |  | Jerzy Marian Materna | KW PRAWO I <br> SPRAWIEDLIWOŚĆ | 13.21\% |

2. Percentage results of voting for councils by electoral committees operating countrywide. for individual provinces:
1) In elections for municipal councils:

| No. | Province | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\approx & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 右 | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 97.28\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45.14 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 10.93\% | 1.43\% | 0.10\% | 24.31\% | 13.81\% |
| 2. | kujawsko-pomorskie | 97.67\% | $\begin{array}{r} 44.98 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 17.72\% | 4.44\% | 0.06\% | 29.25\% | 17.65\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 98.48\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49.84 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 8.11\% | 3.90\% | --- | 25.78\% | 28.21\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 99.89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.11 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 18.57\% | 4.45\% | --- | 25.62\% | 15.24\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 99.22\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.46 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 17.86\% | 2.80\% | 0.00\% | 28.33\% | 19.94\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 95.88\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48.67 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 5.96\% | 1.11\% | --- | 26.81\% | 21.87\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 84.77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50.35 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.47\% | 2.24\% | 0.00\% | 34.13\% | 24.51\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 99.89\% | $\begin{array}{r} 41.13 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.67\% | 4.54\% | --- | 24.34\% | 13.08\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.83 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 3.04\% | 3.06\% | --- | 15.46\% | 25.49\% |
| 10 | podlaskie | 99.67\% | 48.10 $\%$ | 11.29\% | 3.19\% | --- | 30.46\% | 27.15\% |
| 11 | pomorskie | 98.82\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.85 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 8.08\% | 0.04\% | --- | 35.78\% | 16.24\% |
| 12 | śląskie | 96.03\% | $\begin{array}{r} 42.92 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 11.31\% | 0.61\% | 0.00\% | 25.31\% | 13.91\% |
| 13 | świętokrzyskie | 98.26\% | $\begin{array}{r} 53.44 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 16.18\% | 8.73\% | 0.00\% | 14.84\% | 16.53\% |
| 14 | warmińskomazurskie | 98.69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.55 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 11.82\% | 2.77\% | 0.00\% | 24.39\% | 14.27\% |
| 15 | wielkopolskie | 99.10\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.12 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 11.59\% | 3.92\% | --- | 26.79\% | 12.65\% |
| 16 | zachodniopomorskie | 99.04\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45.89 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 15.27\% | 3.30\% | --- | 30.69\% | 13.65\% |
| POLAND IN TOTAL |  | 96.02\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.25 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 11.59\% | 2.47\% | 0.01\% | 27.60\% | 18.53\% |

2) In elections for county councils

| No. | Province | $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0.0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | 感 | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 73.21\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.67 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12.58\% | 4.78\% | --- | 25.07\% | 12.90\% |
| 2. | kujawsko-pomorskie | 79.04\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.54 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 14.30\% | 16.30\% | 0.07\% | 21.76\% | 12.85\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 85.22\% | 52.44 $\%$ | 10.07\% | 27.25\% | --- | 15.10\% | 25.05\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 82.80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.59 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 14.42\% | 15.26\% | --- | 26.21\% | 11.16\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 88.55\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50.68 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 13.72\% | 17.80\% | --- | 18.20\% | 21.23\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 73.14\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49.77 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 4.61\% | 6.80\% | --- | 21.00\% | 27.48\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 58.78\% | $\begin{array}{r} 52.43 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 5.54\% | 17.31\% | --- | 12.75\% | 18.82\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 99.46\% | $\begin{array}{r} 41.54 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 10.88\% | 8.79\% | --- | 22.25\% | 11.44\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | 90.87\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51.07 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 1.84\% | 16.61\% | --- | 13.59\% | 30.26\% |
| 10 | podlaskie | 98.91\% | $\begin{array}{r} 51.17 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 2.43\% | 16.73\% | --- | 14.89\% | 25.55\% |
| 11 | pomorskie | 92.75\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48.73 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 7.23\% | 2.87\% | --- | 33.11\% | 12.55\% |
| 12 | śląskie | 83.90\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.69 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 6.00\% | 5.07\% | 0.04\% | 22.81\% | 14.90\% |
| 13 | świętokrzyskie | 79.01\% | $\begin{array}{r} 54.23 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 15.08\% | 24.64\% | --- | 11.34\% | 19.00\% |
| 14 | warmińskomazurskie | 79.11\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48.42 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.42\% | 12.80\% | --- | 19.08\% | 11.93\% |
| 15 | wielkopolskie | 82.69\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48.97 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.60\% | 17.84\% | --- | 21.99\% | 11.34\% |
| 16 | zachodniopomorskie | 84.92\% | $\begin{array}{r} 48.73 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.88\% | 14.01\% | --- | 31.44\% | 10.57\% |
| POLAND IN TOTAL |  | 80.91\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49.40 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 9.27\% | 14.05\% | 0.01\% | 20.23\% | 18.09\% |

3) In elections for regional assemblies:

| No. | Province | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 . & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{0} \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 右 | Name of the committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | dolnośląskie | 78.66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 44.04 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.40\% | 8.39\% | 1.12\% | 30.37\% | 17.83\% |
| 2. | kujawsko-pomorskie | 85.84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 44.20 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 17.07\% | 13.35\% | 1.27\% | 35.20\% | 18.07\% |
| 3. | lubelskie | 87.58\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49.15 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.78\% | 23.03\% | 0.81\% | 22.76\% | 28.56\% |
| 4. | lubuskie | 86.14\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45.66 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 26.36\% | 13.95\% | 1.09\% | 34.01\% | 17.15\% |
| 5. | łódzkie | 91.94\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45.49 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 18.50\% | 17.52\% | 1.02\% | 27.98\% | 24.18\% |
| 6. | małopolskie | 77.80\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.57 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 9.77\% | 8.95\% | 1.09\% | 34.56\% | 31.51\% |
| 7. | mazowieckie | 60.16\% | $\begin{array}{r} 49.63 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 14.36\% | 24.14\% | 0.71\% | 26.77\% | 24.28\% |
| 8. | opolskie | 99.38\% | $\begin{array}{r} 40.99 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 16.75\% | 12.20\% | 2.17\% | 31.80\% | 17.39\% |
| 9. | podkarpackie | 91.84\% | $\begin{array}{r} 50.49 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.47\% | 21.76\% | 0.91\% | 22.16\% | 37.73\% |
| 10 | podlaskie | 99.20\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47.83 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.56\% | 19.09\% | 1.00\% | 31.56\% | 30.25\% |
| 11 | pomorskie | 94.23\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.45 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 12.08\% | 9.20\% | 1.55\% | 43.99\% | 18.85\% |
| 12 | śląskie | 89.56\% | $\begin{array}{r} 42.36 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 15.76\% | 6.53\% | 1.21\% | 34.43\% | 20.90\% |
| 13 | świętokrzyskie | 80.94\% | $\begin{array}{r} 52.35 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 14.09\% | 32.14\% | 1.26\% | 16.71\% | 19.99\% |
| 14 | warmińskomazurskie | 82.36\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.79 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 16.65\% | 21.84\% | 1.80\% | 36.08\% | 17.03\% |
| 15 | wielkopolskie | 84.77\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.27 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 21.64\% | 17.66\% | 1.39\% | 32.87\% | 17.71\% |
| 16 | zachodniopomorskie | 89.12\% | $\begin{array}{r} 45.14 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 18.38\% | 12.48\% | 1.30\% | 41.75\% | 18.49\% |
| POLAND IN TOTAL |  | 83.66\% | $\begin{array}{r} 46.32 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 15.30\% | 15.65\% | 1.17\% | 31.43\% | 23.07\% |

Chairperson<br>of the National Electoral Committee

Stefan J. Jaworski

